


(3)

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR (M.P.) .

Writ Petition No. 6069/2000

- Petitioners :-
1. SMT. SUMITA VODITELWAR  
W/o Shri Srinivas Voditelwar  
aged about 50 years,  
Head-Master
  2. SMT. USHA PANDYA  
W/o Shri Ashok Pandya  
aged about 50 years  
Assistant Teacher
  3. KU. KUNTI THAKUR  
S/o Shri G.S. Thakur  
aged about 50 years  
Assistant Teacher
  4. SMT. SUNITA SONI  
W/o Shri Satyendra Soni  
aged about 40 years,  
Assistant Teacher

All posted and employed in  
SHRI MAHAVIR JAIN VIDYALAYA  
SHIKSHAK NAGAR, DURG-491001 (M.P.)



*Shri K. K. Sharma*

10.10.2000

contd.....2

Versus

Respondents

1. SHRI MAHAVIR JAIN VIDYALAYA

Bal Mandir,  
Shikshak Nagar,  
DURG (M.P.).

Through :- Secretary

Sri Jain Tarun Club  
Shikshak Nagar,  
DURG (M.P.).

(Regd.No. 1218 dated 25/11/68).

2. DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER

DURG DISTRICT

DURG (M.P.)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION  
OF INDIA.

10 OCT 200

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 6069/2000  
सन् 199

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>10/11/2000</u></p> <p>Shri V.G. Tamaskar, learned counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners are that despite release of the grant by the State Government, the management of the School is not making payment of the salary to them and the same institution on one side says that they have closed down but under some different pseudonymous they are still running the institution, therefore, they deserve a direction for payment of the salary to the petitioners. so far as the question regarding payment of the salary is concerned, the petitioners have an alternative remedy available to them under the provisions of the M.p. Ashaskiya Shikshan Sanstha (Institutional Fund) Rules, 1983. From the petition, it does not appear that the petitioners have invoked that provision before the District Education Office, so far as the second part of the argument is concerned, this court cannot take cognizance of a question relating to the disputed fact. The question whether the same</p>	

प्राप्ति दिनांक

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>.. 2 ..</p> <p>institution is being run in a different or pseudonymous cannot be decided under Article 226 or writ jurisdiction. For that, the petitioners are still free to approach the proper forum.</p> <p>I find no reason to interfere in the petition. The petition is dismissed.</p> <p>Certified copy within a week.</p>	<p>Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge</p> <p>Acting Chief Justice</p>

rsnr 1/c

GRPRJ-FS/4-4/99-20.000

Application not  
recd.  
11/11/24  
AM

5/9/05